

Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear LLP

Intellectual Property Law

2040 Main Street
Fourteenth Floor
Irvine, CA 92614
Tel 949-760-0404
Fax 949-760-9502
kmob.com

CONFIRMATION COPY WILL FOLLOW VIA:

- ☐ MAIL
- ☐ INTERNATIONAL AIRMAIL
- ☐ COURIER
- ☐ E-MAIL
- ☒ WILL NOT FOLLOW
- ☐ HAND DELIVERY
- ☐ WITH ENCLOSURES
- ☐ WITHOUT ENCLOSURES

Facsimile Transmittal Sheet

Confidentiality Notice:

The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain confidential information which may be legally privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the recipient named below. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original documents to us; and any disclosure, copying, distribution or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this faxed information is strictly prohibited.

TO: Examiner Jennifer Thissell
FIRM: USPTO Art Unit 3635
FACSIMILE No.: 703 746 3684
FROM: Lang McHardy
DATE: May 30, 2003

OUR REF.: GSMITH.002A
YOUR REF.: Ser. No. 09/692,655
OPERATOR: ljm
NO. OF PAGES: 6 (incl. cover sheet)
TIME:

IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES PLEASE CALL BACK IMMEDIATELY
OPERATOR PHONE No.: (805) 547-5580 FACSIMILE No.: (805) 547-5590

MESSAGE: This is an informal communication for the purposes of discussion during a telephonic interview scheduled for Monday, June 2, 2003.

Dop W. Martens*
Gordon H. Olson*
James B. Bear
Darrill L. Olson*
William B. Bunker
William H. Nieman
Arthur S. Rose
James F. Lesniak
Ned A. Israelsen
Drew S. Hamilton
Jerry T. Sewell
John B. Spanga, Jr.
Edward A. Schlatter
Gerard von Hoffmann
Joseph R. Re
Catherine J. Holland
John M. Carson
Karen Vogel Weil
Andrew H. Simpson
Jeffrey L. Van Hooser
Daniel E. Altman
Marguerite L. Gunn
Stephen C. Jensen
Vito A. Canuso III
William H. Shreve
Lynda J. Zadra-Symest
Steven J. Natsupsky
Paul A. Stewart
Joseph F. Jennings
Craig S. Summers
AnneMarie Kalsar
Brenton R. Babcock
Thomas F. Smegal, Jr.
Michael H. Trenholm
Diane M. Reed
Ronald J. Schoenbaum
John R. King
Frederick S. Barrette
Nancy W. Vansko
John P. Giezantanner
Adele S. Akhtar
Thomas R. Arno
David N. Weiss
Daniel Hart, Ph.D.
Douglas G. Muehlhauser
Lori Lee Yamato
Michael K. Friedland
Dale C. Hunt, Ph.D.
Richard E. Campbell

Paul D. Tripodi II
Stacey R. Halpern
Lee W. Henderson, Ph.D.
Mark M. Abumari
Jon W. Gurke
John W. Holcomb
Joseph M. Raiman, Ph.D.
Michael L. Fuller
Eric M. Nelson
Mark R. Benedict, Ph.D.
Paul N. Conover
Robert J. Roby
Sabing H. Lee
Karoline A. Delaney
Joseph S. Cranfrani
William R. Zimmerman
Paul C. Steinhardt
Dorothy S. Shepherd
James J. Mullan III, Ph.D.
Glen L. Nuttall
Eric S. Furman, Ph.D.
Tirzah Abé Lowe
Sanjivpat S. Gili
Susan M. Natland
James W. Hill, M.D.
Rose M. Thiessen, Ph.D.
Michael A. Guillane
Mark J. Kertz
Rabinder N. Narula
Bruce S. Hochkewitz, Ph.D.
Peter M. Midgley
Michael S. Okamoto
John M. Grover
Mallory K. De Meritier
Irfan A. Lateef
Sharon S. Ng
Mark J. Gallagher, Ph.D.
David G. Jankowski, Ph.D.
Brian C. Horne
Payson J. LeMeilleur
Shella N. Swarop
Ben A. Katzenellenbogen
Linda H. Liu
Andrew N. Mertelke, Ph.D.
David L. Hauser
James F. Herkenhoff
Scott Loras Murray
Andrew M. Douglas
Marc T. Morley

Salima A. Merani, Ph.D.
Sam K. Tahmassebi, Ph.D.
Christy L. Green
Jonathan A. Hyman
Curtiss C. Doeler
Richard A. DeCristofaro
Joseph J. Mallon, Ph.D.
Thomas P. Krzeminski
Jeffrey A. Birchak
Sean M. Murray
Elenore Niu
Valerie L. Bracken
J. David Evered
Johnfar F. Kerlee
Jeremy P. Sanders
Perry D. Oldham
Jerry L. Hefner, Ph.D.
Russell M. Jaide
Abraham W. Chuang
Ryan N. Farr
Pui Tong Ho
Erik T. Anderson
John L. Park
Eric K. Morton
Jesse A. Rothwell
Marc C. Baumgartner
Ray B. Hom
Danielle Klausner
Kyle F. Schluter
Raphael A. Gutierrez
Demian K. Jackson
Nathan A. Engels
Gregory A. Hermanson
Raymond Chan
Zi Y. Wong
John N. Kandara
Matthew S. Bellinger
David K. Wiggins
Darryl H. Steensma, Ph.D.
Lauren Keller
Melissa J. Altman
Ted M. Cannon
Carol M. Pitzel
Josué A. Villalta
Sheila R. Gibson
Andrew I. Kimmel
Miika Fukuwa

Of Counsel
Louis J. Knobbe*
Jerry R. Seiler
Japanese Patent Atty
Katsuhiko Arai
Tomohisa Sugiyama

Korean Patent Atty
Mincheol Kim
Heungsoo Choi

Scientists & Engineers
(Non-Lawyers)

Raimond J. Salenicks**
Khurram Rahman, Ph.D.
Jennifer Haynes, Ph.D.**
Tammy Y. Nagata
Cha S. Charsaskin, Ph.D.**
James W. Ausley**
Jennifer Hayes**
Kirk E. Pastorian, Ph.D.**
Charles T. Ridgely
Connie C. Tong, Ph.D.**
Suzanne Jepson, Ph.D.**
Nira M. Brand**
Jeffrey A. Hopkins**
Tiffany C. Miller**
James W. Chang, Ph.D.**
Marina L. Gordey, Ph.D.**
W. Frank Dauverger
Lang J. McHardy**
Karen J. Lenker
Chris Westberg, Ph.D.
Eric B. Ives, Ph.D.**
David C. Weber**

* A Professional Corporation
† Also Barister At Law (Reg. & Mem.)
** U.S. Patent Agent
†† Also Solicitor (Reg. & Mem.)

660 West C Street
Suite 1200
San Diego CA 92101
Tel 619-235-6550
Fax 619-235-0178

201 California Street
Suite 1150
San Francisco CA 94111
Tel 415-954-4114
Fax 415-954-4111

1900 Avenue of the Stars
Suite 1425
Los Angeles CA 90067
Tel 310-551-3450
Fax 310-551-3458

3403 Tenth Street
Suite 700
Riverside CA 92501
Tel 909-781-9231
Fax 909-781-4507

1114 Marsh Street
San Luis Obispo CA 93401
Tel 805-547-5580
Fax 805-547-5590

GSMITH.002A

PATENT

Applicant : Smith, G.
 Appl. No. : 09/692,655
 Filed : October 19, 2000
 For : ROOF TILE SUPPORT
 Examiner : Thissell, J.

Group Art Unit 3635

UNOFFICIAL PROPOSED OUTLINE AND AMENDMENT FOR DISCUSSION DURING
 TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW SCHEDULED FOR MONDAY JUNE 2, 2003 AT 12:00 PM

INTERVIEW OUTLINE:

I. Rejections:

A. The majority of the claims have been rejected as being obvious for one or more reasons.

1. Claims reciting specific dimensions have been rejected as being optimum ranges determinable by the skilled artisan.
2. Claims reciting the placement of multiple roof tiles on a single support element were rejected as being implicitly disclosed by the prior art.
3. Method claims reciting independent placement support elements and roof tiles were rejected with the assertion that "constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art."

many of these
 Integral
 - ability to
 adjust
 - light weight

II. Applicant's Response:

A. Applicant's support elements are adapted to be used with any of a wide variety of roofing tiles and materials.

1. Prior art teaches support/tile combinations in which supports are specifically designed to be used with a single type of tile.
2. The dimensions of Applicant's tiles are optimized for versatility of use.

B. Applicant submits that the limitation of placing multiple roof tiles in a single course on a single support element is not met by the prior art without a reference explicitly showing such a teaching. Applicant further submits that Kelly teaches away from the claimed invention.

C. Applicant submits that the claimed support element is not merely a formerly integral structure provided in various elements.

D. Applicant's support elements can be easily modified, adjusted, re-shaped, re-sized, etc in the field in order to accommodate variations and irregularities in roof shapes (chimneys, skylights, etc).

E. Applicant's lightweight, inexpensive and versatile roof tile support element provides a solution to a long-standing problem within the roofing industry.

"adapted to" in 1st several claims
 Kelly - can have any roof type placed on it

RCE

Appl. No : 09/692,655
 Filed : October 19, 2000

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS:

Fifield

1. An apparatus adapted for use with roof tiles and a roofing surface, the apparatus comprising a support element configured to occupy a space between roof tiles and a roofing surface thereby providing support for at least central portions of the roof tiles, wherein the support element has a length of about four feet, a width of between about seven and a half and eleven inches, and a height of between about one inch and about two inches, such that the support element is sized and adapted to support at least three roof tiles of any shape or size in a single course, and wherein the support element is non-integral with the tile or the roofing surface.

Fifield
 - the element apparatus is the entire thing
 - tiles can be placed on top
 col. 4 further layer can be provided

2. The apparatus of Claim 1, wherein said support element is configured in the shape of a wedge.

3. (WITHDRAWN) The apparatus of Claim 2, wherein said support element has a triangular cross-section.

4. The apparatus of Claim 2, wherein said support element has a quadrilateral cross-section.

5. The apparatus of Claim 1, wherein said support element is made of expanded polystyrene.

6. The apparatus of Claim 1, wherein said support element includes at least one groove formed in its bottom surface.

7. (WITHDRAWN) The apparatus of Claim 2, further comprising arch sections.

8. A roof tile support system, comprising:

a roofing surface;

a plurality of roof tiles and

a plurality of independent support elements positioned between and in contact

with both of said roofing surface and said roof tiles, wherein said support elements support said roof tiles so as to increase the load capacities of said roof tiles, and wherein each support element is configured to support at least three roof tiles of any shape in a single course.

9. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said support element is made of a lightweight material.

Kelly in view McCorsley in view FIFIELD

concrete tiles beam

McCorsley teaches roof tiles to be placed on support elements over roofing surface

Appl. No : 09/692,655
Filed : October 19, 2000

10. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said roofing surface comprises a roof deck with battens.

11. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said roof tiles are made of lightweight concrete.

12. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said support elements are separate pieces from said roof tiles and said roofing surface.

13. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein each of said support elements supports four or more roof tiles in a single course.

14. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said support elements have a large surface area for contacting a substantial portion of the area under said roof tiles.

15. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said support elements are wedge-shaped.

16. (WITHDRAWN) The roof tile support system of Claim 15, wherein said support elements have arch sections, and said roof tiles are barrel roof tiles.

17. (WITHDRAWN) The roof tile support system of Claim 15, wherein said support elements have a triangular cross-section.

18. The roof tile support system of Claim 15, wherein said support elements have a quadrilateral cross-section.

19. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said support elements are made of expanded polystyrene.

20. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said roof tiles are arranged in rows and a first row is supported by said support elements such that the roof tiles of the first row are elevated some distance above a second adjacent row of said roof tiles.

21. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said roof tiles are supported by said support elements such that the weight of said tiles, or a concentrated load on said tiles, will be distributed over said support elements and said roofing surface.

22. The roof tile support system of Claim 8, wherein said roof tiles are arranged in rows and a first row is supported by said support elements such that the weight of said tiles, or a concentrated load on said tiles, will be distributed over said support elements, said roofing surface and a second row of roof tiles.

Appl. No : 09/692,655
 Filed : October 19, 2000

(at the front)
 /

File

23. A method of installing roof tile supports, comprising:
 first, placing a support element on a roofing surface;
 then, placing a first roof tile on said support element such that at least a central portion of an underside of said roof tile is substantially supported by the support element; and

finally, securing said roof tile to said roofing surface.

24. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, wherein a second roof tile is placed directly on at least a portion of the support element adjacent the first roof tile.

25. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 24, wherein a third tile is placed directly on the support element adjacent the second tile.

26. (INDICATED ALLOWABLE) The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, wherein said first roof tile is placed on said support element such that said first roof tile does not contact a roof tile in an adjacent lower course.

27. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, wherein said first roof tile is placed in contact with both said roofing surface and said support element.

28. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, further including a second roof tile, wherein said first roof tile is placed in contact with said roofing surface, said support element, and said second roof tile.

29. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, wherein securing said first roof tile to said roofing surface comprises driving a nail through said first roof tile into said roofing surface.

30. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 29, wherein said nail also passes through a portion of said support element.

31. The method of installing roof tile supports of Claim 23, further including a second support element, wherein said second support element is positioned to the side of said first support element so as to leave a gap between the two elements.

32. The support element of Claim 1, wherein the body comprises a width of about seven and a half inches, a front surface height of about one and an eighth inches, and a rear surface height of about three eighths of an inch.

Przycki

Filed

Appl. No : 09/692,655
Filed : October 19, 2000

33. The support element of Claim 1, wherein the body has a width of about eleven inches, and a front surface height of about one and an eighth inches.

34. The support element of Claim 1, wherein the body has a width of about eleven inches, and a front surface height of about one and seven eighths inches.

35. (AMENDED) A support element for use in a roofing system, the support element comprising:

a substantially solid, lightweight body having at least a top surface, a bottom surface, a front surface, a rear surface, and a pair of side surfaces;

said top surface having a width dimension selected to allow a roof tile placed on said top surface to extend beyond said front and rear surfaces;

wherein the top and bottom surfaces are substantially planar and non-parallel to one another;

wherein the body has a length sufficient to support a plurality of roof tiles of a single course.

36. The support element of Claim 35, wherein the ~~body comprises a rear surface~~ having ~~has~~ a height less than a height of the front surface, and wherein ~~a~~ the height of the rear surface is about an inch or less.

O:\DOCS\LJM\LJM-2265.DOC
052903